arXiv:1208.3247v1 [math.CV] 15 Aug 2012

A NOTE ON RELATION BETWEEN AZUKAWA IZOMETRIES AT ONE POINT AND GLOBAL BIHOLOMORPHISMS

MAŁGORZATA ZAJĘCKA

Abstract. We prove that under certain assumptions holomorphic functions which are Azukawa isometries at one point are in fact biholomorphisms.

1. Introduction

The holomorphic contractibility of Carathéodory-Reiffen and Kobayashi-Royden pseudometrics have put much interest in the relation of global biholomorphicity and Carathéodory or Kobayashi isometricity at one point. While from mentioned property it immediately follows that a biholomorphism must be a Carathéodory and Kobayashi isometry, the oposite statement is obviously not true in general case. In 1984 Jean-Pierre Vigué proved the following result.

Theorem (see [Vig]). *Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let M be a complex manifold on which a Carathéodory-Reiffen pseudodistance is a distance. Suppose $F : \Omega \to M$ is a holomorphic mapping which is a Carathéodory-Reiffen isometry at a point $p \in \Omega$. Then F is a biholomorphism.*

A few years later Ian Graham proved analogous theorem for a Kobayashi-Royden isometry.

Theorem (see [Gra]). *Suppose M is a taut complex manifold of dimension n. Suppose Ω is a strictly convex bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Suppose $F : M \to \Omega$ is a holomorphic mapping which is a Kobayashi-Royden isometry at a point $p \in M$. Then F is a biholomorphism.*

In this paper we switch our interest to other holomorphically contractible pseudometric: the Azukawa pseudometric A_G. We obtain the following main result.

Theorem 1. *Let $G_1, G_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be domains. Let $a \in G_1$ and let $F : G_1 \to G_2$ be such that:*

(1) *$F \in \mathcal{O}(G_1, G_2)$;*
(2) *G_1 is taut;*
(3) *G_2 is bounded;*
(4) *for any $z \in G_1$ we have $g_{G_1}(a, z) = l^*_{G_1}(a, z)$;*
(5) *for any $X \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $A_{G_2}(F(a); F'(a)X) = A_{G_1}(a; X)$.*

Then F is a biholomorphism.

Proof of this result is given in the last section of this paper.

The following example shows essential difference between our result and theorems of Vigue and Graham.

Example 2. Observe that if G_1 is a taut balanced pseudoconvex domain, then assumption (4) in Theorem 1 is satisfied (see [JarPfl], Proposition 4.2.7). However such domains do not need to be convex, thus in many cases we cannot apply Vigue's nor Graham's theorems with G_1 in the role of Ω. A good example of that situation is a set $G_1 := \{(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ |z| < 1, \ |w| < 1, \ |zw| < \alpha\}$, for fixed $0 < \alpha < 1$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we remind the definitions and basic properties of the Green function and Azukawa pseudometric. For the detailed proofs, more interesting facts about these objects and their connections to Kobayashi and Carathéodory pseudodistances and pseudometrics see for instance [JarPfl], [Kli 1], [Kli 2], [Azu], and [Kli 3].

Let G be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. To simplify the definitions, for $a \in G$ let $\exp \mathcal{L}_a$ denote the family of functions $u : G \to [0,1)$ such that $\log u$ is plurisubharmonic on G and there exists a positive constant M such that $u(z) \leq M\|z-a\|$, $z \in G$.

Definition 3. Define

$$g_G(a,z) := \sup\{u(z) : \ u \in \exp \mathcal{L}_a\}, \ a \in G, \ z \in G;$$

$$A_G(a;X) := \sup\{\limsup_{0 \neq \lambda \to 0} \frac{u(a+\lambda X)}{|\lambda|} : \ u \in \exp \mathcal{L}_a\}, \ a \in G, \ X \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The function g_G is called *a pluricomplex Green function with a pole at point a* and A_G is called *an Azukawa pseudometric.*

Both g_G and A_G are holomorphically contractible, i.e. for a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and a holomorphic function $F : G \to D$ we have

$$g_D(F(a),F(z)) \leq g_G(a,z), \ a,z \in G,$$

and

$$A_D(F(a);F'(a)X) \leq A_G(a;X), \ a \in G, \ X \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Obviously if F is a biholomorphism, we get equalities - this property is called biholomorphic invariance. Moreover

$$g_{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda',\lambda'') = m(\lambda',\lambda'') := |(\lambda'-\lambda'')/(1-\lambda'\overline{\lambda''})|, \ \lambda',\lambda'' \in \mathbb{D},$$

and

$$A_{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda;X) = |X|\gamma(\lambda) := |X|/(1-|\lambda|^2), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{D}, \ X \in \mathbb{C},$$

where by $\mathbb{D}$ we denote a unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$.

One can show that $g_G(a,\cdot) \in \exp \mathcal{L}_a$, $a \in G$, (see [Kli 2]). Consequently we obtain an equivalent and much more useful definition of Azukawa pseudometric

$$A_G(a;X) = \limsup_{0\neq\lambda\to 0} \frac{g_G(a,\lambda X)}{|\lambda|},\ a \in G,\ X \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Finally, let us introduce the following notation. By l_G we denote the Lempert function, by k_G the Kobayashi pseudodistance and by K_G the Kobayashi-Royden pseudometric. We use also the convention: for a function f let f^* denote $\tanh f$.

3. Proof of main theorem

Before we proceed to the proof, we need one easy but interesting lemma.

Lemma 4. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a domain such that $0 \in G$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}, G)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists a $\lambda' \in \mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $g_G(0,\varphi(\lambda')) = |\lambda'|$;*
(ii) *$g_G(0,\varphi(\lambda)) = |\lambda|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$;*
(iii) *$A_G(0;\varphi'(0)) = 1$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of analogous theorem for complex Carathéodory and Carathéodory-Reiffen geodesics (see Proposition 8.1.3 in [JarPfl]). □

Proof of Theorem 1. Using biholomorphic invariance of g_G and A_G we may assume $a = 0$ and $F(a) = 0$. Observe that $A_{G_1}(0;X) > 0$ for $X \neq 0$. Indeed, using (4), Proposition 3.18 from [Pan], and tautness of G_1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{G_1}(0;X) = \limsup_{0\neq\lambda\to 0} \frac{g_{G_1}(0,\lambda X)}{|\lambda|} &= \limsup_{0\neq\lambda\to 0} \frac{l^*_{G_1}(0,\lambda X)}{|\lambda|} \\ &= \limsup_{0\neq\lambda\to 0} \frac{l_{G_1}(0,\lambda X)}{|\lambda|} = K_{G_1}(0;X) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (5) we get $A_{G_2}(0;F'(0)X) > 0$, $X \neq 0$. Thus $F'(0)$ is an isomorphism and so F is injective in a neighborhood U of zero. From tautness of G_1 we get equality between the euclidean topology of G_1 and its Kobayashi topology (see [JarPfl] Proposition 3.3.4). Using (5) we may assume $U \supset B_{g_{G_1}}(r_0) := \{z \in G_1 :\ g_{G_1}(0,z) < r_0\}$ for some $r_0 \in (0,1)$.

We show that $g_{G_2}(0,F(z)) = g_{G_1}(0,z)$ for $z \in G_1$. Fix a $z_0 \in G_1\setminus\{0\}$. Since G_1 is taut, there exists an extremal disc $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}, G_1)$ for the pair $(0,z_0)$, i.e. $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(\lambda_0) = z_0$, and $l^*_{G_1}(0,\varphi(\lambda_0)) = |\lambda_0|$ for some $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$. Thus $g_{G_1}(0,\varphi(\lambda_0)) = |\lambda_0|$ and from Lemma 4 we obtain $A_{G_1}(0;\varphi'(0)) = 1$. From (5) we get $A_{G_2}(F\circ\varphi;(F\circ\varphi)'(0)) = 1$. Thus once again from Lemma 4 we get $g_{G_2}((F\circ\varphi)(0),(F\circ\varphi)(\lambda)) = |\lambda|$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence

$$g_{G_2}(0,F(z_0)) = |\lambda_0| = g_{G_1}(0,z_0).$$

Consequently, $F^{-1}(B_{g_{G_2}}(r)) \subset B_{g_{G_1}}(r)$ and $F(B_{g_{G_1}}(r)) \subset B_{g_{G_2}}(r)$, $r \in (0,1)$.

Now take $\{z_j\} \subset G_1$ such that $z_j \to \partial G_1$. Then $g_{G_1}(0, z_j) \to 1$. Hence $g_{G_2}(0, F(z_j)) \to 1$. Thus $F(z_j) \to \partial G_2$. Therefore F is a proper holomorphic map $G_1 \to G_2$. In particular, F is surjective. Consequently, $F(B_{g_{G_1}}(r)) = B_{g_{G_2}}(r)$ and $F^{-1}(B_{g_{G_2}}(r)) = B_{g_{G_1}}(r)$, $r \in (0, 1)$.

Define $V := \{z \in G_1 : J_F(z) = 0\}$. Then (see [Rud], Chapter 15.1)

- $F(V)$ is an analytic subset of G_2;
- there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sharp F^{-1}(w) = m$ for $w \notin F(V)$ and $\sharp F^{-1}(w) < m$ for $w \in F(V)$;
- $F : G_1 \setminus F^{-1}(F(V)) \to G_2 \setminus F(V)$ is a holomorphic covering map.

Since $F : B_{g_{G_1}}(r_0) \to B_{g_{G_2}}(r_0)$ is biholomorphic, we conclude that $m = 1$. Thus F is a biholomorphism. □

References

[]Azu K. Azukawa, *The invariant pseudo-metric related to negative plurisubharmonic functions*, Kodai Math. J. 10 (1987), 83-92.

[]Gra I. Graham, *Holomorphic mappings into strictly convex domains which are Kobayashi isometries at one point*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1989), 917-921.

[]JarPfl M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, De Gruyter Exp. Math. 9, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.

[]Kli 1 M. Klimek, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and invariant pseudodistances*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 113 (1985), 231-240.

[]Kli 2 M. Klimek, *Infinitesimal pseudometrics and the Schwarz Lemma*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 105 (1989), 134-140.

[]Kli 3 M. Klimek, *Pluripotential Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.

[]Pan M.-Y. Pang, *On infinitesimal behavior of the Kobayashi distance*, Pac. J. Math. 162 (1994), 121-141.

[]Rud W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[]Vig J. P. Vigué, *Caractérisation des automorphismes analytiques d'un domaine convexe borné*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 299 (1984), 101-104.

Jagiellonian University
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Institute of Mathematics
Łojasiewicza 6
30-348 Kraków

Cracow University of Technology
Faculty of Physics, Mathematics and Computer Science
Institute of Mathematics
Warszawska 24
31-155 Kraków

E-mail address: malgorzata.zajecka@gmail.com